



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/938,454

8/24/2001

Carol J. Collins

NEU-40

EXAMINER

H. SHEIKH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1615

15

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HUMERA N. SHEIKH

(3) BILL MCGOWAN

(2) KISHORE GOLLAMUDI, PhD

(4) T. CUNNINGHAM (INTERN-LAW)

Date of Interview 05 August 2003

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: Product of mascara in the market

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24

Identification of prior art discussed: ON RECORD (SHUKUZAKI & SINKEL)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The essence of the invention is appears to be a product (mascara) without wax. Shukuzaki ^(used in the rejection) does not appear to teach mascara. Applicant's response is due now and since the final rejection the reference of Sinkel was introduced, the attorney pointed out that the finality of the office action was improper. Finally will be withdrawn and new action will be issued if necessary. A suggestion was made to amend the claims if necessary based on the references in EV search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

S. Kishore

KISHORE GOLLAMUDI, PhD